Safe Routes to School Federal Program - State of the States November 2008

The following chart details each state's progress on implementing the federal Safe Routes to School program. All dollar figures cited are as of September 30, 2008 unless marked in red; in those cases, the state has provided updated figures as of November 24, 2008.

- The first column indicates whether the required state SRTS coordinator is in place or is an interim official. State coordinators are responsible for administering the program and provide important leadership in how the program is implemented.
- The second column indicates whether the state uses a State Advisory Committee. Committees often help craft the application process, promote the program to communities, and review grant applications to ensure a responsible and effective use of the federal funds.
- The third column shows how much funding it is anticipated the state will receive once FY2009 funds are allocated by Congress, and the fourth column represents the funding made available to date by the Federal Highway Administration for each state to spend.
- The total amount awarded column measures the amount of funding that the state has announced for local grants and statewide spending—not including administrative expenses. These are the funds that will ultimately help local communities create safer routes to school.
- The total obligated column reflects the amount that the state has actually expended on Safe Routes to School, including local grants, statewide spending, and administrative expenses. Obligation is important as it demonstrates what level of funding has been spent to date to build infrastructure projects, support non-infrastructure activities, and implement the program.

State	SRTS Coordinator		Projected Funding Available (FY05-09) *	Funding FY05-08 *		Percent Awarded (FY05-08)	Total Obligated ***	Percent Obligated (FY05-08)
ALABAMA	Yes		\$9,032,048	\$6,280,751	\$5,302,771	84%	\$600,000	10%
ALASKA	Yes		\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$0	0%	\$3,990,000	100%
ARIZONA	Yes	Yes	\$11,306,270	\$7,683,062	\$1,899,000	25%	\$1,649,221	21%
ARKANSAS	Interim	Yes	φο,σοι ,σισ	\$4,314,540	\$4,099,340	95%	\$1,385,375	32%
CALIFORNIA	Yes		φοι ,σοσ,σοι	\$44,937,736	\$45,000,000	100%	\$11,840,998	26%
COLORADO	Yes		φο,: σο,σ:σ	\$6,053,668	\$4,698,381	78%	\$2,210,225	37%
CONNECTICUT	Yes		\$6,971,079	\$4,948,217	\$2,619,000	53%	\$1,585,457	32%
DELAWARE	Yes		\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$789,699	20%	\$3,757,806	94%
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	Yes		+ //	\$3,990,000	\$2,814,745	71%	\$2,992,500	75%
FLORIDA	Yes		+- 0,.00,000	\$19,391,033	\$30,831,562	159%	\$7,430,797	38%
GEORGIA	Yes		ψ···,···,=σσ	\$11,565,102	\$0	0%	\$1,823,000	16%
HAWAII	Yes		+ 1,000,000	\$3,990,000	\$549,133	14%	\$818,246	21%
IDAHO	Yes		\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$3,580,000	90%	\$1,649,408	41%
ILLINOIS	Yes	Yes	\$23,279,528	\$15,713,548	\$8,422,721	54%	\$1,667,521	11%
INDIANA	Yes		\$11,946,460	\$8,201,412	\$6,894,083	84%	\$630,542	8%
IOWA	Yes	Yes	\$6,090,671	\$4,414,726	\$3,649,085	83%	\$1,702,250	39%
KANSAS	Yes	Yes	\$6,010,464	\$4,367,877	\$3,562,719	82%	\$1,514,099	35%
KENTUCKY	Yes	Yes	\$7,882,559	\$5,524,533	\$4,167,401	75%	\$2,563,335	46%
LOUISIANA	Yes	Yes	\$9,009,591	\$6,375,363	\$3,839,033	60%	\$3,671,428	58%
MAINE	Interim	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$2,560,000	64%	\$753,941	19%
MARYLAND	Yes	Yes	\$10,328,425	\$7,183,654	\$7,080,000	99%	\$4,321,200	60%

State	SRTS Coordinator		Projected Funding Available (FY05-09) *	Funding FY05-08 *	Total Awarded **	Percent Awarded (FY05-08)	Total Obligated ***	Percent Obligated (FY05-08)
MASSACHUSETTS	Yes	Yes	\$11,284,446	\$7,818,001	\$0	0%	\$2,775,980	36%
MICHIGAN	Yes	Yes	\$19,090,527	\$12,826,750	\$13,861,953	108%	\$2,701,463	21%
MINNESOTA	Yes	Yes	\$9,569,263	\$6,662,389	\$5,467,000	82%	\$3,001,283	45%
MISSISSIPPI	Yes	Yes	\$6,506,087	\$4,658,367	\$2,899,290	62%	\$561,221	12%
MISSOURI	Yes	Yes	\$10,723,923	\$7,413,914	\$5,025,201	68%	\$1,568,508	21%
MONTANA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$1,270,090	32%	\$2,260,237	57%
NEBRASKA	Yes	Yes	\$5,007,718	\$3,990,000	\$2,957,392	74%	\$1,256,544	31%
NEVADA	Yes	Yes	\$5,583,989	\$4,142,500	\$1,594,971	39%	\$1,033,203	25%
NEW HAMPSHIRE	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$1,529,089	38%	\$217,967	5%
NEW JERSEY	Yes	Yes	\$15,930,009	\$10,817,211	\$4,476,970	41%	\$2,384,611	22%
NEW MEXICO	Yes		\$5,124,000	\$3,990,000	\$534,700	13%	\$537,460	13%
NEW YORK	Yes	No	\$31,641,547	\$21,319,535	\$27,499,133	129%	\$186,956	1%
NORTH CAROLINA	Interim	No	\$15,593,698	\$10,559,324	\$1,897,000	18%	\$1,425,910	14%
NORTH DAKOTA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$1,756,592	44%	\$1,077,836	27%
ОНЮ	Yes	Yes	\$20,563,040	\$13,934,199	\$4,018,466	29%	\$1,918,987	14%
OKLAHOMA	Yes	Yes	\$7,089,250	\$5,007,633	\$40,015	1%	\$363,000	7%
OREGON	Yes	Yes	\$6,706,773	\$4,776,089	\$2,008,618	42%	\$523,530	11%
PENNSYLVANIA	Yes	Yes	\$21,011,088	\$14,211,825	\$3,488,807	25%	\$1,544,370	11%
RHODE ISLAND	Yes		\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$1,900,000	48%	\$350,000	9%
SOUTH CAROLINA	Yes	Yes	\$8,155,711	\$5,719,095	\$4,535,000	79%	\$1,873,750	33%
SOUTH DAKOTA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$702,258	18%	\$100,000	3%
TENNESSEE	Yes	Yes	\$10,833,176	\$7,455,120	\$4,446,000	60%	\$447,998	6%
TEXAS	Interim	Yes	\$44,684,980	\$29,532,152	\$24,678,953	84%	\$5,328,610	18%
UTAH	Yes	Yes	\$6,128,204	\$4,419,685	\$3,349,305	76%	\$3,048,928	69%
VERMONT	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$2,797,215	70%	\$1,939,680	49%
VIRGINIA	Yes	Yes	\$13,329,111	\$9,113,073	\$3,595,432	39%	\$8,132,166	89%
WASHINGTON	Yes	Yes	\$11,289,653	\$7,775,325	\$10,517,000	135%	\$4,128,017	53%
WEST VIRGINIA	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000	\$2,879,170	72%	\$1,372,226	34%
WISCONSIN	Yes	Yes	\$10,229,018	. , ,			. , ,	
WYOMING	Yes	Yes	\$4,990,000	\$3,990,000			. , ,	
TOTAL			\$596,030,000	\$416,060,000	\$292,679,072	70%	\$117,272,334	28%

^{*} From the Federal Highway Administration. Available at http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/saferoutes/fy08table.pdf.

^{**} From the National Center for Safe Routes to Schools Fall 2008 Status report. Available at http://www.saferoutesinfo.org/resources/collateral/status_report/TrackingBriefJuly-Sept2008.pdf.

^{***} Provided by the Federal Highway Administration.